

CONTENTS

COVER SHEET	iii
NOTATION	xxv
ENGLISH/METRIC AND METRIC/ENGLISH EQUIVALENTS	xxxii
SUMMARY	S-1
S.1 Background	S-1
S.1.1 Previous NEPA Review and Litigation	S-1
S.1.2 Project Overview	S-2
S.1.3 Overview of Transmission Line Projects	S-6
S.1.3.1 Intergen Transmission Line Project	S-6
S.1.3.2 Sempra Transmission Line Project	S-9
S.2 Purpose and Need.....	S-9
S.2.1 DOE	S-10
S.2.2 BLM.....	S-10
S.2.3 Applicants’ Purpose and Need.....	S-12
S.3 Public Participation and the NEPA Process.....	S-12
S.3.1 Public Scoping and Comment Period.....	S-12
S.3.1.1 Issues within the Scope of the EIS	S-12
S.3.1.2 Issues outside the Scope of the EIS.....	S-14
S.3.2 Public Review of the DEIS.....	S-15
S.4 Alternatives Analyzed.....	S-17
S.4.1 No Action.....	S-18
S.4.2 Proposed Action: Grant One or Both Presidential Permits and Corresponding ROWs	S-18
S.4.2.1 Descriptions of Proposed Transmission Lines.....	S-19
S.4.2.2 Alternative Transmission Line Routes.....	S-23
S.4.2.3 Applicants’ Proposed Environmental Protection Measures	S-26
S.4.2.4 Project-Related Power Plants.....	S-26
S.4.3 Alternative Technologies.....	S-31
S.4.3.1 Alternative Technologies Considered But Not Evaluated.....	S-31
S.4.3.2 Wet-Dry Cooling Retrofit	S-33
S.4.3.3 Carbon Monoxide Emissions Control.....	S-36
S.4.4 Mitigation Measures	S-36
S.4.4.1 Water Resources.....	S-37
S.4.4.2 Air Quality	S-38
S.5 Comparison of Potential Environmental Impacts among Alternatives	S-39
S.5.1 Geology, Soils, and Seismicity.....	S-39

CONTENTS (Cont.)

S.5.2	Water Resources	S-41
S.5.2.1	Water Use.....	S-41
S.5.2.2	New River	S-41
S.5.2.3	Floodplains: Pinto Wash and New River.....	S-42
S.5.2.4	Salton Sea.....	S-43
S.5.2.5	Brawley Wetland.....	S-44
S.5.2.6	Groundwater.....	S-44
S.5.3	Air Quality	S-45
S.5.4	Biological Resources	S-47
S.5.4.1	Transmission Corridors.....	S-47
S.5.4.2	New River	S-49
S.5.4.3	Salton Sea.....	S-50
S.5.4.4	Special Status Species	S-52
S.5.5	Cultural Resources.....	S-53
S.5.6	Land Use.....	S-54
S.5.7	Transportation.....	S-55
S.5.8	Visual Resources	S-56
S.5.9	Noise.....	S-57
S.5.10	Socioeconomics	S-58
S.5.11	Human Health.....	S-60
S.5.11.1	No Action.....	S-60
S.5.11.2	Proposed Action.....	S-61
S.5.11.3	Alternative Technologies	S-63
S.5.11.4	Mitigation Measures.....	S-63
S.5.12	Minority and Low-Income Populations.....	S-64
S.6	Cumulative Impacts	S-65
1	INTRODUCTION.....	1-1
1.1	Background	1-1
1.1.1	Previous NEPA Review and Litigation	1-1
1.1.2	Overview of the Transmission Line Projects.....	1-3
1.1.2.1	Intergen Transmission Line Project	1-3
1.1.2.2	Sempra Transmission Line Project	1-8
1.2	Purpose and Need.....	1-9
1.2.1	DOE	1-9
1.2.2	BLM.....	1-9
1.2.3	Applicants’ Purpose and Need.....	1-11
1.3	Public Participation and the NEPA Process.....	1-11
1.3.1	Public Scoping and Comment Period.....	1-11
1.3.1.1	Issues within the Scope of the EIS.....	1-12
1.3.1.2	Issues outside the Scope of the EIS.....	1-13

CONTENTS (Cont.)

1.3.2	Public Review of the Draft EIS	1-14
1.4	Organization of This Environmental Impact Statement.....	1-17
2	ALTERNATIVES.....	2-1
2.1	No Action.....	2-1
2.2	Proposed Action: Grant One or Both Presidential Permits and Corresponding ROWs	2-2
2.2.1	Descriptions of Proposed Transmission Lines	2-2
2.2.1.1	Transmission Line Construction	2-9
2.2.1.2	Areas of Construction Impact	2-17
2.2.1.3	Operations and Maintenance.....	2-17
2.2.1.4	Applicants’ Proposed Environmental Protection Measures	2-19
2.2.1.5	Alternative Transmission Line Routes.....	2-26
2.2.2	Project-Related Power Plants	2-28
2.3	Alternative Technologies	2-35
2.3.1	Alternative Technologies Considered But Not Evaluated.....	2-35
2.3.1.1	Dry-Only Cooling Technology	2-35
2.3.1.2	Zero-Liquid Discharge Water Management Technology.....	2-36
2.3.2	Wet-Dry Cooling Retrofit.....	2-37
2.3.3	Carbon Monoxide Emissions Control	2-40
2.4	Mitigation Measures.....	2-40
2.4.1	Water Resources	2-40
2.4.2	Air Quality	2-41
2.5	Comparison of Alternatives	2-43
3	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	3-1
3.1	Geology, Physiography, Soils, and Seismicity	3-1
3.1.1	Geology.....	3-1
3.1.2	Physiography	3-2
3.1.3	Soils	3-2
3.1.3.1	Meloland-Vint-Indio Association	3-4
3.1.3.2	Rositas Association	3-4
3.1.3.3	Prime Farmland.....	3-4
3.1.4	Seismicity	3-5
3.2	Water Resources.....	3-6
3.2.1	Surface Water Resources.....	3-6
3.2.1.1	New River	3-6
3.2.1.2	Zaragoza Oxidation Lagoons	3-22
3.2.1.3	Salton Sea.....	3-22

CONTENTS (Cont.)

3.2.2	Wetlands	3-32
3.2.3	Floodplains	3-32
3.2.4	Groundwater	3-34
3.3	Climate and Air Quality	3-35
3.3.1	Climate.....	3-35
3.3.1.1	California.....	3-35
3.3.1.2	Regional	3-35
3.3.2	Air Quality	3-49
3.4	Biological Resources.....	3-63
3.4.1	Transmission Line Routes and Imperial Valley Substation	3-63
3.4.1.1	Vegetation Communities.....	3-63
3.4.1.2	Terrestrial Wildlife.....	3-65
3.4.1.3	Aquatic Biota	3-66
3.4.2	New River Corridor	3-66
3.4.2.1	Vegetation Communities.....	3-66
3.4.2.2	Terrestrial Wildlife.....	3-67
3.4.2.3	Aquatic Biota	3-67
3.4.3	Salton Sea	3-68
3.4.3.1	Vegetation Communities.....	3-68
3.4.3.2	Terrestrial Wildlife.....	3-69
3.4.3.3	Aquatic Biota	3-70
3.4.4	Special Status Species.....	3-72
3.4.4.1	Peirson's Milk-Vetch	3-73
3.4.4.2	Algodones Dunes Sunflower.....	3-79
3.4.4.3	Desert Pupfish	3-79
3.4.4.4	Desert Tortoise	3-80
3.4.4.5	Barefoot Gecko	3-81
3.4.4.6	Flat-Tailed Horned Lizard.....	3-81
3.4.4.7	Bald Eagle	3-81
3.4.4.8	Brown Pelican	3-82
3.4.4.9	California Least Tern	3-82
3.4.4.10	Least Bell's Vireo	3-82
3.4.4.11	Gila Woodpecker	3-83
3.4.4.12	Yuma Clapper Rail.....	3-83
3.4.4.13	Southwestern Willow Flycatcher	3-83
3.4.4.14	Bank Swallow	3-84
3.4.4.15	Yellow-Billed Cuckoo	3-84
3.4.4.16	Elf Owl.....	3-84
3.4.4.17	Western Burrowing Owl	3-85
3.4.4.18	Peninsular Bighorn Sheep	3-85
3.4.4.19	Palm Springs Ground Squirrel	3-86

CONTENTS (Cont.)

3.5	Cultural Resources	3-86
3.5.1	Background.....	3-86
3.5.1.1	Prehistoric Period.....	3-87
3.5.1.2	Historic Period	3-87
3.5.2	Known Cultural Resources	3-88
3.6	Land Use	3-88
3.6.1	Imperial County	3-90
3.6.2	Federal Land	3-90
3.6.3	Recreation	3-90
3.6.4	Economic Development.....	3-91
3.6.5	U.S. Customs and Border Patrol.....	3-91
3.6.6	Wilderness	3-91
3.7	Transportation	3-92
3.8	Visual Resources.....	3-92
3.8.1	Scenic Quality.....	3-93
3.8.2	Distance Zones.....	3-93
3.8.3	Visual Sensitivity.....	3-95
3.8.4	Visual Resource Management Classes	3-95
3.9	Socioeconomics.....	3-97
3.9.1	Population.....	3-97
3.9.2	Employment.....	3-97
3.9.3	Unemployment	3-98
3.9.4	Income	3-98
3.9.5	Housing.....	3-99
3.10	Minority and Low-Income Populations	3-99
4	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES.....	4-1
4.1	Geology, Soils, and Seismicity	4-2
4.1.1	Major Issues.....	4-2
4.1.2	Methodology.....	4-2
4.1.3	No Action.....	4-3
4.1.3.1	Geology	4-3
4.1.3.2	Soils.....	4-3
4.1.3.3	Seismicity.....	4-3
4.1.4	Proposed Action.....	4-3
4.1.4.1	Geology	4-3
4.1.4.2	Soils.....	4-4
4.1.4.3	Seismicity.....	4-6
4.1.5	Alternative Technologies.....	4-6
4.1.6	Mitigation Measures	4-6
4.2	Water Resources.....	4-6
4.2.1	Major Issues.....	4-7

CONTENTS (Cont.)

4.2.2	Methodology.....	4-7
4.2.2.1	Direct Impacts	4-7
4.2.2.2	Indirect Impacts.....	4-8
4.2.3	No Action.....	4-9
4.2.4	Proposed Action.....	4-9
4.2.4.1	Direct Impacts of Plant Operations: New River	4-13
4.2.4.2	Direct Impacts to Floodplains: Pinto Wash and New River.....	4-22
4.2.4.3	Direct Impacts to Groundwater.....	4-22
4.2.4.4	Indirect Impacts of Plant Operations: Salton Sea and Brawley Wetland.....	4-23
4.2.4.5	Indirect Impacts to Groundwater	4-26
4.2.5	Alternative Technologies.....	4-26
4.2.6	Mitigation Measures	4-27
4.2.6.1	Water Resources.....	4-27
4.2.6.2	Air Quality	4-30
4.3	Air Quality	4-30
4.3.1	Major Issues.....	4-31
4.3.2	Methodology.....	4-31
4.3.2.1	Estimating Emissions from Transmission Line Construction and Operation	4-31
4.3.2.2	Estimating Air Pollutant Concentrations from Power Plant Emissions	4-32
4.3.3	No Action.....	4-37
4.3.4	Proposed Action.....	4-37
4.3.4.1	Impacts from Transmission Line Construction.....	4-38
4.3.4.2	Impacts from Transmission Line Operations and Maintenance	4-41
4.3.4.3	Conformity Review.....	4-41
4.3.4.4	Power Plant Operations.....	4-43
4.3.5	Alternative Technologies.....	4-61
4.3.5.1	More Efficient Emissions Controls.....	4-61
4.3.5.2	Alternative Cooling Technology.....	4-62
4.3.6	Mitigation Measures	4-62
4.3.6.1	Mitigation Measures in Imperial County.....	4-62
4.3.6.2	Mitigation Measures in Mexico	4-64
4.4	Biological Resources.....	4-65
4.4.1	Major Issues.....	4-65
4.4.2	Methodology.....	4-65
4.4.3	No Action.....	4-66
4.4.3.1	Transmission Line Routes.....	4-66
4.4.3.2	New River and Salton Sea.....	4-66
4.4.4	Proposed Action.....	4-67

CONTENTS (Cont.)

4.4.4.1	Transmission Line Routes.....	4-67
4.4.4.2	New River.....	4-70
4.4.4.3	Salton Sea.....	4-72
4.4.5	Alternative Technologies.....	4-72
4.4.5.1	Transmission Line Routes.....	4-72
4.4.5.2	New River.....	4-73
4.4.5.3	Salton Sea.....	4-73
4.4.6	Mitigation Measures.....	4-73
4.4.7	Special Status Species.....	4-74
4.4.7.1	No Action.....	4-74
4.4.7.2	Proposed Action.....	4-78
4.4.7.3	Alternative Technologies.....	4-80
4.4.7.4	Mitigation Measures.....	4-81
4.5	Cultural Resources.....	4-81
4.5.1	Major Issues.....	4-81
4.5.2	Methodology.....	4-81
4.5.3	No Action.....	4-82
4.5.4	Proposed Action.....	4-82
4.5.5	Alternative Technologies.....	4-83
4.5.6	Mitigation Measures.....	4-83
4.6	Land Use.....	4-84
4.6.1	Major Issues.....	4-84
4.6.2	Methodology.....	4-84
4.6.3	No Action.....	4-84
4.6.4	Proposed Action.....	4-85
4.6.5	Alternative Technologies.....	4-86
4.6.6	Mitigation Measures.....	4-86
4.7	Transportation.....	4-86
4.7.1	Major Issues.....	4-86
4.7.2	Methodology.....	4-86
4.7.3	No Action.....	4-86
4.7.4	Proposed Action.....	4-86
4.7.5	Alternative Technologies.....	4-87
4.7.6	Mitigation Measures.....	4-87
4.8	Visual Resources.....	4-87
4.8.1	Major Issues.....	4-87
4.8.2	Methodology.....	4-87
4.8.3	No Action.....	4-88
4.8.4	Proposed Action.....	4-88
4.8.5	Alternative Technologies.....	4-90
4.8.6	Mitigation Measures.....	4-90
4.9	Noise.....	4-90
4.9.1	Major Issues.....	4-90

CONTENTS (Cont.)

4.9.2	Methodology.....	4-90
4.9.3	No Action.....	4-91
4.9.4	Proposed Action.....	4-91
	4.9.4.1 Construction.....	4-91
	4.9.4.2 Operations.....	4-92
4.9.5	Alternative Technologies.....	4-92
4.9.6	Mitigation Measures.....	4-92
4.10	Socioeconomics.....	4-93
4.10.1	Major Issues.....	4-93
4.10.2	Methodology.....	4-93
4.10.3	No Action.....	4-93
4.10.4	Proposed Action.....	4-93
	4.10.4.1 Population, Housing, and Local Public Services.....	4-93
	4.10.4.2 Employment and Income.....	4-94
	4.10.4.3 Government Revenues.....	4-94
4.10.5	Alternative Technologies.....	4-95
4.10.6	Mitigation Measures.....	4-95
4.11	Human Health.....	4-96
4.11.1	Major Issues.....	4-96
4.11.2	Methodology and Background.....	4-96
	4.11.2.1 Electric and Magnetic Fields.....	4-97
	4.11.2.2 Ozone.....	4-98
	4.11.2.3 Particulate Matter.....	4-99
	4.11.2.4 Health Risk Assessment for HAPs and NH ₃	4-100
4.11.3	No Action.....	4-100
4.11.4	Proposed Action.....	4-101
	4.11.4.1 Electric and Magnetic Fields.....	4-101
	4.11.4.2 Criteria Air Pollutants.....	4-103
	4.11.4.3 Hazardous Air Pollutants and Ammonia.....	4-104
4.11.5	Alternative Technologies.....	4-105
4.11.6	Mitigation Measures.....	4-105
4.12	Minority and Low-Income Populations.....	4-106
4.12.1	Major Issues.....	4-106
4.12.2	Methodology.....	4-106
4.12.3	No Action.....	4-106
4.12.4	Proposed Action.....	4-107
4.12.5	Alternative Technologies.....	4-107
4.12.6	Mitigation Measures.....	4-108
5	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS.....	5-1
5.1	Methodology.....	5-1
5.1.1	General Approach.....	5-1

CONTENTS (Cont.)

5.1.2	Methodological Steps	5-2
5.2	Regions of Influence	5-4
5.3	Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions	5-4
5.3.1	IID Water Conservation and Transfer Project	5-6
5.3.2	Mexicali II Wastewater Treatment Project	5-8
5.3.3	Salton Sea Restoration Project	5-8
5.3.4	Total Maximum Daily Load Program	5-9
5.3.5	Wetlands Construction on the New River	5-11
5.3.6	Power Plant Projects in the Imperial Valley-Mexicali Region	5-11
5.3.7	General Trends in the Imperial Valley-Mexicali Region	5-13
5.3.7.1	Imperial Valley	5-13
5.3.7.2	Mexicali	5-14
5.4	Cumulative Impacts Analyses	5-15
5.4.1	Geology and Soils	5-15
5.4.2	Water Resources	5-15
5.4.2.1	Surface Water Resources	5-15
5.4.2.2	Groundwater Resources	5-19
5.4.3	Air Quality	5-21
5.4.3.1	Power Plant Emissions	5-21
5.4.3.2	Sources of Fugitive Dust	5-23
5.4.3.3	General Trends in Mexico	5-23
5.4.4	Biological Resources	5-24
5.4.4.1	Yuha Desert Management Area	5-24
5.4.4.2	New River	5-24
5.4.4.3	Salton Sea	5-25
5.4.5	Cultural Resources	5-25
5.4.6	Land Use	5-25
5.4.7	Transportation	5-26
5.4.8	Visual Resources	5-26
5.4.9	Noise	5-26
5.4.10	Socioeconomics	5-26
5.4.11	Human Health	5-27
5.4.12	Minority and Low-Income Populations	5-27
6	UNAVOIDABLE ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS	6-1
6.1	Noise	6-1
6.2	Soils	6-1
6.3	Water Resources	6-1
6.4	Air Quality	6-2
6.5	Biological Resources	6-3
6.6	Cultural Resources	6-3
6.7	Visual Resources	6-3

CONTENTS (Cont.)

7	IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENTS OF RESOURCES	7-1
7.1	Land.....	7-1
7.2	Water.....	7-2
7.3	Construction Materials.....	7-2
7.4	Biological and Cultural Resources.....	7-3
8	SHORT-TERM USE AND LONG-TERM PRODUCTIVITY.....	8-1
9	APPLICABLE ENVIRONMENTAL LAWS, REGULATIONS, PERMITS, AND DOE ORDERS	9-1
10	AGENCIES AND PERSONS CONTACTED.....	10-1
11	REFERENCES.....	11-1
12	LIST OF PREPARERS.....	12-1
13	GLOSSARY.....	13-1
	APPENDIX A: Court Orders	A-1
	APPENDIX B: Scoping Summary Report for Imperial-Mexicali 230-kV Transmission Lines Environmental Impact Statement.....	B-1
	APPENDIX C: Issues Tracking Matrix.....	C-1
	APPENDIX D: Air Quality Monitoring Data in the United States and Mexico Border Region	D-1
	APPENDIX E: Consultation Letters	E-1
	APPENDIX F: Calculations in Support of Water Resources Analysis.....	F-1
	APPENDIX G: Data in Support of Air Quality Analysis.....	G-1
	APPENDIX H: Health Risk Assessment for Air Toxics	H-1
	APPENDIX I: Contractor Disclosure Statements	I-1
	APPENDIX J: Overview of Mexico Permits for the LRPC and TDM Power Plants	J-1

CONTENTS (Cont.)

APPENDIX K: Analysis of the Use of Zero-Liquid Discharge Technologies at the Power Plants in Mexico	K-1	
APPENDIX L: TDM and LRPC Power Plant Photographs.....	L-1	
APPENDIX M: Distribution List	M-1	

TABLES

S-1	Time Line for Imperial-Mexicali 230-kV Transmission Lines.....	S-4	
S-2	Areas of Construction Impact	S-22	
S-3	Summary of Impacts for Proposed Action and Other Alternatives by Resource Area	S-67	
1.1-1	Time Line for Imperial-Mexicali 230-kV Transmission Lines.....	1-4	
2.2-1	Areas of Construction Impact	2-18	
2.5-1	Summary of Impacts for Proposed Action and Other Alternatives by Resource Area	2-44	
3.2-1	Annual Mean Flows for the New River, 1980–2001	3-9	
3.2-2	Selenium Concentrations in New River Water at the U.S.-Mexico Border	3-15	
3.2-3	Average Values for TSS, BOD, COD, and Phosphorus	3-18	
3.2-4	Influent and Effluent Concentrations for the Zaragoza Oxidation Lagoons, 2000–2003	3-23	
3.3-1	Average Temperatures and Precipitation in Imperial County.....	3-36	
3.3-2	Ambient Air Quality Standards.....	3-51	
3.3-3	Imperial County Air Quality Compared to NAAQS.....	3-62	
3.4-1	Fish Species in the New River	3-68	
3.4-2	Federal- and State-Listed Threatened and Endangered Species	3-74	

TABLES (Cont.)

3.7-1	Average Annual Daily Traffic in the Vicinity of the Existing Line, 2002.....	3-92
3.9-1	County Employment by Industry, 2001	3-98
3.9-2	County Unemployment Rates	3-98
3.9-3	County Personal Income	3-99
3.9-4	County Housing Characteristics.....	3-100
3.10-1	Minority and Low-Income Population Characteristics in Imperial County.....	3-101
4.2-1	Water Use for No Plants Operating, No Action, and Proposed Action	4-9
4.2-2	Projected Annual Operating Parameters	4-10
4.2-3	Changes in New River Water Flows Caused by Plant Operations	4-12
4.2-4	Changes in New River Water Depth Caused by Plant Operations	4-13
4.2-5	Changes in New River Water Quality Parameter Concentrations at the Calexico Gage Caused by One Year of Power Plant Operations.....	4-14
4.2-6	Physical Changes to the Salton Sea Produced by Plant Operations.....	4-15
4.2-7	Changes to Salton Sea Inflow and Water Quality Due to Plant Operations	4-16
4.3-1a	Criteria Air Pollutants and Other Compounds Emitted from the TDM and LRPC Power Plants: by Turbine	4-43
4.3-1b	Criteria Air Pollutants and Other Compounds Emitted from the TDM and LRPC Power Plants: by Action	4-44
4.3-2	Criteria Pollutant Increases at a Maximum Receptor Point in the United States Resulting from Emissions from the TDM Turbines	4-46
4.3-3	Criteria Pollutant Increases at a Maximum Receptor Point in the United States Resulting from Emissions from the LRPC Export Turbines.....	4-47
4.3-4	Criteria Pollutant Increases at a Maximum Receptor Point in the United States Resulting from Emissions from TDM Plus LRPC Export Turbines — Proposed Action Alternative	4-48

TABLES (CONT.)

4.3-5	Criteria Pollutant Increases at a Maximum Receptor Point in the United States Resulting from the Three EAX Turbines — No Action Alternative	4-49	
4.3-6	Criteria Pollutant Increases at a Maximum Receptor Point in the United States from the TDM and All Four LRPC Units.....	4-50	
4.3-7	OZIPR Modeled Changes in O ₃ Concentrations as a Result of Power Plant Operations.....	4-53	
4.3-8	Comparison of Annual CO ₂ Emissions from the TDM Plant and LRPC Turbines to 2001 United States and Global Emissions	4-58	
4.4-1	Potential Impacts to Special Status Species	4-75	
4.10-1	Economic Impacts of the Proposed and Alternative Transmission Line Routes in Imperial County in 2002.....	4-95	
4.11-1	Estimated Magnetic Field Strengths Associated with the Proposed and Alternative Transmission Line Routes	4-102	
5.2-1	Regions of Influence for the Cumulative Impacts Assessment.....	5-5	
5.3-1	Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions That May Cumulatively Affect Resources of Concern	5-6	
5.3-2	TMDL Pollutants and Time Lines for the New River and Salton Sea.....	5-10	
5.4-1	Potential Impacting Factors of Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions, including the Proposed Action, by ROI.....	5-16	
5.4-2	Water Demands for the Water Resources Cumulative Impact Analysis	5-20	
5.4-3	Estimated Annual Emissions from the Blythe Energy Project	5-22	
5.4-4	Summary of Anticipated Cumulative Impacts	5-28	
7.1-1	Irreversible and Irrecoverable Resource Commitments	7-1	
9-1	Federal Environmental Statutes, Regulations, and Orders.....	9-2	
10-1	Agencies and Persons Contacted by DOE and ANL	10-2	

TABLES (Cont.)

C-1	EIS Issues Tracking Guide.....	C-3
C-2	Summary of Declaration Issues and Resolutions.....	C-7
D-1	Annual Criteria Pollutant Monitoring: Calexico, 1029 Ethel Street, Calexico High School.....	D-5
D-2	Annual Criteria Pollutant Monitoring: Calexico, Calexico-East, U.S. Port of Entry.....	D-6
D-3	Annual Criteria Pollutant Monitoring: Calexico, 960 Grant Street	D-7
D-4	Annual Criteria Pollutant Monitoring: El Centro, 150 9th Street	D-7
D-5	Annual Criteria Pollutant Monitoring: Mexicali, ITM.....	D-8
D-6	Annual Criteria Pollutant Monitoring: Mexicali, UABC.....	D-9
D-7	Annual Criteria Pollutant Monitoring: Mexicali, CBTIS.....	D-10
D-8	Annual Criteria Pollutant Monitoring: Mexicali, COBACH.....	D-11
G-1	Sempra and LRPC Power Plant Emission and Air Modeling Input Data.....	G-4
G-2	Estimated Annual Average Emissions for 2003 in Imperial County.....	G-6
G-3	Summary of Regional Emissions Inventories in the Six Northern Mexico States	G-8
G-4	Emission Rates for Imperial County in 2003 and Mexicali in 1999.....	G-14
G-5	Peak O ₃ Concentration Changes Associated with TDM and LRPC Power Plant Operations for Different Model Input Parameters.....	G-15
H-1	Ammonia and HAP Emission Rates at the TDM Power Plant	H-6
H-2	Ammonia and HAP Emission Rates at the LRPC Power Plant.....	H-7
H-3	Modeled Stack Parameters	H-8
H-4	Maximum Ground Level Concentrations for a Single Turbine at the TDM and LRPC Power Plants	H-9

TABLES (Cont.)

H-5	Estimated Risks for the No Action and Proposed Action Alternatives.....	H-11
H-6	Estimated Risks for Each Power Plant.....	H-12
J-1	Applicable Mexico Environmental Standards and Regulations.....	J-4
J-2	Primary Permits and Approvals Obtained by the LRPC and TDM Power Plants.....	J-8
K-1	Estimated Concentrations of Various Constituents in New River Water as a Result of Installing Zero Liquid Discharge Technology at the Power Plants	K-5

FIGURES

S-1	General Area Map Showing the Proposed Transmission Lines.....	S-3
S-2	Regional Setting for Imperial-Mexicali 230-kV Transmission Lines.....	S-7
S-3	La Rosita Power Complex: Electrical Distribution.....	S-8
S-4	Suspension Tower and Suspension Monopole.....	S-23
S-5	Alternative Transmission Line Routes.....	S-25
S-6	General Engineering Features at the LRPC and TDM Power Plants.....	S-27
S-7	Schematic of Typical SCR System	S-28
S-8	Water Supply Cycle for LRPC and TDM Power Plants	S-30
S-9	Wet-Dry Cooling Technology.....	S-34
1.1-1	Regional Setting for Imperial-Mexicali 230-kV Transmission Lines.....	1-6
1.1-2	La Rosita Power Complex: Electrical Distribution.....	1-7
2.2-1	General Area Map Showing the Proposed Transmission Lines.....	2-3
2.2-2	Location of Existing and Proposed Transmission Lines as Shown on U.S. Geological Survey Topographic Map	2-4

FIGURES (Cont.)

2.2-3	Projects’ Plan — Segment A.....	2-5
2.2-4	Projects’ Plan — Segment B.....	2-6
2.2-5	Projects’ Plan — Segment C.....	2-7
2.2-6	Projects’ Plan — Segment D.....	2-8
2.2-7	Suspension Tower	2-10
2.2-8	Suspension Monopole	2-11
2.2-9	Deflection Tower.....	2-12
2.2-10	Deflection Monopole.....	2-13
2.2-11	Dead-end Tower.....	2-14
2.2-12	Crossing Structure	2-16
2.2-13	Alternative Transmission Line Routes.....	2-27
2.2-14	General Engineering Features at the LRPC and TDM Power Plants.....	2-29
2.2-15	Schematic of Typical SCR System	2-30
2.2-16	Wet Cooling Technology	2-32
2.2-17	Water Supply Cycle for the LRPC and TDM Power Plants	2-33
2.3-1	Wet-Dry Cooling Technology.....	2-37
3.1-1	Physiographic Features of the Imperial Valley Area	3-3
3.1-2	Major Fault Zones in the Salton Trough, Southern California	3-5
3.2-1	Course of the New River in the United States	3-8
3.2-2	Annual Mean Flow in the New River at Calexico and Westmorland, California, 1980–2000.....	3-10
3.2-3	Depth/Flow Relationship for the Calexico Gage	3-11

FIGURES (Cont.)

3.2-4	Depth/Flow Relationship for the Westmorland Gage on the New River.....	3-11
3.2-5	The New River in Mexicali, Mexico.....	3-12
3.2-6	TDS Concentration at the Calexico Gage on the New River.....	3-14
3.2-7	TSS Recorded at the Calexico Gage on the New River at the U.S.-Mexico Border	3-17
3.2-8	BOD Measured at the Calexico Gage on the New River at the U.S.-Mexico Border	3-17
3.2-9	COD Measured at the Calexico Gage on the New River at the U.S.-Mexico Border	3-18
3.2-10	Yearly Averages for Water Quality at the Calexico Gage on the New River at the U.S.-Mexico Border	3-19
3.2-11	Concentration of Total Phosphorus at the Calexico Gage on the New River at the U.S.-Mexico Border	3-19
3.2-12	Annual Total Quantity of Phosphorus at the Calexico Gage on the New River at the U.S.-Mexico Border	3-20
3.2-13	Areas Flooded during Creation of Contemporary Salton Sea.....	3-24
3.2-14	Elevation of the Salton Sea from 1905 to 2001	3-25
3.2-15	Depth/Area Relationship for the Salton Sea	3-26
3.2-16	Volume/Depth Relationship for the Salton Sea	3-26
3.2-17	Inflow Volume to the Salton Sea	3-27
3.2-18	Total Salt Load in Inflow to the Salton Sea	3-28
3.2-19	TDS in Inflow to the Salton Sea.....	3-28
3.2-20	Salton Sea Total Dissolved Solids	3-29
3.2-21	FEMA 100-Year Floodplain of Pinto Wash	3-33
3.3-1	Annual Variation of Temperatures and Precipitation in Imperial County	3-37

FIGURES (Cont.)

3.3-2	Imperial, Imperial County: Annual Winds from 1993 through 2002	3-38
3.3-3	Imperial, Imperial County: Fall Winds from 1993 through 2002	3-39
3.3-4	Imperial, Imperial County: Winter Winds from 1993 through 2002	3-40
3.3-5	Imperial, Imperial County: Spring Winds from 1993 through 2002.....	3-41
3.3-6	Imperial, Imperial County: Summer Winds from 1993 through 2002.....	3-43
3.3-7	Mexicali Monitoring Stations CBTIS, COBACH, ITM, and UABC: Annual Winds, 1997, 1998, and 1999	3-44
3.3-8	Mexicali Monitoring Stations CBTIS, COBACH, ITM, and UABC: Fall Winds, 1997, 1998, and 1999	3-45
3.3-9	Mexicali Monitoring Stations CBTIS, COBACH, ITM, and UABC: Winter Winds, 1997, 1998, and 1999	3-46
3.3-10	Mexicali Monitoring Stations CBTIS, COBACH, ITM, and UABC: Spring Winds, 1997, 1998, and 1999	3-47
3.3-11	Mexicali Monitoring Stations CBTIS, COBACH, ITM, and UABC: Summer Winds, 1997, 1998, and 1999	3-48
3.3-12	Salton Sea Air Basin Monitoring Stations ARB Map.....	3-55
3.3-13	Mexico Monitoring Stations ARB Map.....	3-56
3.3-14	Carbon Monoxide Annual Arithmetic Means for U.S. and Mexico Monitoring Stations.....	3-57
3.3-15	Nitrogen Dioxide Annual Arithmetic Means for U.S. and Mexico Monitoring Stations.....	3-57
3.3-16	Ozone Annual Arithmetic Means for U.S. and Mexico Monitoring Stations.....	3-58
3.3-17	Sulfur Dioxide Annual Arithmetic Means for U.S. and Mexico Monitoring Stations.....	3-58
3.3-18	PM ₁₀ Annual Arithmetic Means for U.S. and Mexico Monitoring Stations.....	3-59

FIGURES (Cont.)

3.3-19	Bar Graph of Carbon Monoxide Annual Arithmetic Means for U.S. and Mexico Monitoring Stations	3-59
3.3-20	Bar Graph of Nitrogen Dioxide Annual Arithmetic Means for U.S. and Mexico Monitoring Stations	3-60
3.3-21	Bar Graph of Ozone Annual Arithmetic Means for U.S. and Mexico Monitoring Stations	3-60
3.3-22	Bar Graph of Sulfur Dioxide Annual Arithmetic Means for U.S. and Mexico Monitoring Stations.....	3-61
3.3-23	Bar Graph of PM ₁₀ Annual Arithmetic Means for U.S. and Mexico Monitoring Stations.....	3-61
3.4-1	Biological Community Types in the Vicinity of the Proposed Transmission Line Routes.....	3-64
3.6-1	Land Use and Ownership Map.....	3-89
3.8-1	Distance Zone Map	3-94
3.8-2	View from Key Observation Point 1, 0.7 mi East of Existing IV-La Rosita Line on State Route 98.....	3-95
3.8-3	View from Key Observation Point 2, 1.3 mi East of Existing IV-La Rosita Line on State Route 98.....	3-96
3.10-1	Minority Population Concentration in Census Block Groups in Imperial County	3-103
3.10-2	Low-Income Population Concentration in Census Block Groups in Imperial County	3-104
4.3-1	Relationships among NO _x , VOC, and O ₃ in Modeled Changes in Peak O ₃ Concentration.....	4-54
4.8-1	Actual View from Key Observation Point 1, 0.7 mi East of Existing IV-La Rosita Line on State Route 98.....	4-88
4.8-2	Simulated View of the Eastern Alternative Lines from Key Observation Point 2, 1.3 mi East of Existing IV-La Rosita Line on State Route 98.....	4-89

FIGURES (Cont.)

5.3-1	Locations of Reasonably Foreseeable Future Power Plant Projects Potentially Impacting the Salton Sea Air Basin	5-12
G-1	Comparison of Base Case and Phx_sum3 Case	G-13
L-1	Aerial View of the TDM Power Plant.....	L-5
L-2	Aerial View of the Cooling Tower.....	L-6
L-3	View of Gas Turbine Inlet Air Structure, Gas Turbine, Heat Recovery Steam Generator, and Stack for One of the Two Units at TDM.....	L-7
L-4	View of Solids Removed from Sewage Treatment Processing at the TDM Facility	L-8
L-5	View of EAX-1C Export Gas Turbine Showing the Location of the Selective Catalytic Reduction System for NO _x Control Installed in March 2004.....	L-11
L-6	Aerial View of LaRosita 1, the EAX Unit	L-12
L-7	View of the EAX Unit from the Field.....	L-12
L-8	Aerial View of LaRosita 2, the EBC Export Unit.....	L-13
L-9	View of the EBC Unit at Night	L-13
L-10	Aerial View of the LRPC Sewage Treatment Plant next to the Zaragosa Oxidation Lagoons during Construction	L-14
L-11	Overflow from the Disinfection Chamber to the Gray Water Pump Well.....	L-14
L-12	Sewage Treatment Plant Aeration Tank.....	L-15